

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,282	09/24/2003	Iwata Ikeda	64484-013	3504
7590 McDermott, Will & Emery 600 13th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			DULANEY, BENJAMIN O	
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/668,282	IKEDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BENJAMIN O. DULANEY	2625	
	10/668,282 Examiner	10/668,282 IKEDA ET AL. Examiner Art Unit

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 13 July 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706,07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL A brief in compliance with 27 CER 41 37 must be filled within two months of the date of

	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
	a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
AMEN	IDMENTS
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 🔲	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \(\begin{align*} \begin{align*} \text{will not be entered, or b) } \equiv \text{ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.} \)
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: 1.5-10 and 13.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
	was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. M The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see NOTE below.

 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: .

/Sudhanshu C Pathak/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/BENJAMIN O DULANEY/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625

Regarding applicant's argument on pages 2 and 3, filed 7/13/1 that Hansen teaches only the ability to designate pager size and special paper color, but does not teach nules associated with such capabilities, examiner disagrees. Column 18, line 15-3 delarly teaches that for any particular capability request (i.e. size of paper stock and special paper color), an automatic policy can be in place to deal with such capabilities (i.e. ignoring the special attribute when not available as in column 18, line 50, are policy to always satisfy a capability requirement as in column 18, line 57). Therefore, since a pager size designation (column 16, line 50) and a special color designation (column 16, lines 61-62) are clearly taught as capabilities (i.e. potential attributes of a job), these capabilities can be subject to the "rules" (i.e. policies) defined in column 18, as previously stated. That is to say, since any printing device accessible in the network of Hansen could lack a specific capability to implement a preferred attribute, the "policies" of column 18 are in place to deal with such situations, thereby teaching "rules" for all attributes that are possible to be included in the job ticket (i.e. workflow), and cell hansen teaches the attributes (as admitted by applicant in page 2 of the arguments) the "rules" for such attributes are also taught. Therefore the current argument is overcome and the rejection stands.